UCLouvain bcomu2109 Interdisciplinary technical workshop 2025 in interactive and collaborative communication

The version you're consulting is not final. This course description may change. The final version will be published on 1st June.

8.00 credits

45.0 h

Q1 and Q2

Language :	French	
Place of the course	Bruxelles Saint-Louis	
Prerequisites	The prerequisite(s) for this Teaching Unit (Unité d'enseignement – UE) for the programmes/courses that offer this Teaching Unit are specified at the end of this sheet.	
Prerequisites Learning outcomes		
	 adaptation to endogenous and exogenous changes, and awareness of sustainability and inclusiveness issues. 5. Initiate and support the creation of partnerships by integrating diverse cultural rationales, including at an international level. 	

Université catholique de Louvain - Interdisciplinary technical workshop in interactive and collaborative communication - en-cours-2025-bcomu2109

. Identify new professional needs in communication created by the evolution of society and digital echnologies; initiate new practices or new professions to meet these new needs.				
ompetency 4 - Mobilise and produce knowledge in communication strategy and digital culture in				
substantiated and methodical manner, as part of a critical reflection or research project. earning outcome:				
. Possess thorough knowledge of the main theoretical and methodological approaches to research on ommunication and digital culture.				
. Based on multidisciplinary knowledge, develop a critical and substantiated reflection on digital echnologies and their human and societal issues.				
. Conduct an original research project, using a variety of well-chosen theoretical, methodological and echnological resources.				
4. Report on research and its results in a clear, coherent and structured manner, both in writing and ora in accordance with the requirements of academic communication.				
. Based on research findings, make recommendations for the organisation's communication strategy and/ r design new communication tools or practices.				
. Update one's knowledge and practices by implementing methods and techniques to monitor ommunication and digital trends and innovations.				
/eighting				
• 1/3 individual assessment mark: teachers will mark each student out of 20; the assessment criteria used to justify the rating are participation in discussions, projects, the ability to set an example, convince, organize, get involved, motivate, unite				
 1/3 evaluation score for individual projects: teachers will mark each individual project presentation out of 20; the assessment criteria used to justify the score are originality, clarity of communication (oral & written), the ability to capture attention, convince, generate debate by building a reflection on the subject, sharing an experience 				
 1/3 evaluation score for collective projects: teachers will rate each presentation of a collective project out of 20; all the students of the same collective project will have the same grade; the assessment criteria used to justify the mark are originality, clarity of communication (oral & written), the ability to capture attention, convince, arouse debate by building a reflection on the subject, sharing an experience, give the floor to one or more experts, the relevance of the communication strategy 				
uccess criteria				
n effective presence at least 50% of the hours is required, failing which the student will be blocked (0/20) or the workshop in digital culture. Between 50 and 80% of the effective attendance rate, the final grade ill be proportional to the effective attendance rate (example: a student obtained an evaluation grade of 6/20 and has an effective attendance rate of 70%, his / her grade final will be 16 * 70% or 11.2 / 20). bove 80% effective attendance rate, the evaluation score becomes the final score.				
bsences justified for medical reasons (attesting medical certificate) or professional reasons (attesting mployer certificate) are not included in this calculation. The student must send valid proof to the teachers o later than the day following the end of the impediment.				
student has passed when the final mark is greater than or equal to 10/20, even if one or more of the ssessments fails (mark less than 10/20).				
Il work is original. Students are expected to comply scrupulously with the rules and good practice of tation, referencing and non-plagiarism.				
he use of generative AI is accepted as long as it is occasional and limited, unless otherwise stipulated y the teacher and/or assistant. In all cases, the use of AI must be explicitly stated. Any part of the work or resentation that relies in any way on generative AI must be clearly identified (e.g. by a footnote) specifying hich generative AI tool was used and how it was used in the part concerned.				
ery great care must be taken when 'reusing' personal or group work produced as part of any EU course. xceptionally, such an approach may be envisaged provided that the student (1) requests permission om the teacher and/or assistant, (2) justifies this 're-use' in the context of the work, which, as a whole, nust obviously constitute an original contribution in relation to the first work, and (3) scrupulously applies he rules of citation and referencing to any use of this work. Failure to comply with these rules may be possidered an irregularity (self-plagiarism).				
ny failure to apply the above rules may lead to academic and/or disciplinary action for plagiarism and/or regularity, in accordance with the General Study and Examination Regulations.				
ranslated with DeepL.com (free version) the event of failure in the first session, the student who wishes to validate this UE must improve his / her				
dividual work and / or the work of his / her group, depending on the failures in one or more assessments. In the event of failure in the second session, when the average of the evaluation scores is strictly less than 0/20, even in the event of success in one of the evaluations (score greater than or equal to 10/20), the tudent who wishes to validate this UE during the following academic year will have to submit again to is / her entire evaluation, the terms of which are likely to change from one year to another.				

Teaching methods	The workshop starts from the questions and concerns brought by the students, stimulated and supervised by the professors. The answers will be experienced and shared by the students. Professors and students can also bring in experts.		
	Depending on a pre-established budget (to be defined), students will have the opportunity to test certain tools and / or take certain outings.		
Content	The workshop enables students to experiment with actions and digital communication devices. The workshop starts with questions and concerns raised by the students and for which they would like to experiment with certain "answers" in collaboration with the other students.		
	The workshop is part of the spirit of action research: immersion, followed by a critical analysis of the experiments carried out to lead to the co-construction of actions. It allows students to exercise their creativity on different platforms, collaborative tools and social media, possibly using new technologies (such as connected objects, to name just one example).		
	The workshop encourages innovation. It stimulates the interdisciplinary research inherent in socio-digital communication which combines at least social sciences, computer science and the arts of expression. The workshop puts students in a situation of developing new representations.		
	To carry out their experiments and solve the problems encountered in an innovative way, the students will seek the relevant information and tools and will call upon their peers. They may also bring in experts.		
	Course plan		
	The first workshop sessions will be devoted to introducing its professors and goals.		
	The following ones will be devoted to the presentation of the individual projects, as well as to choosing and setting-up collaborative projects.		
	The last ones will be devoted to the presentation of the collaborative projects carried out.		
	Individual projects are intended to encourage each student to explore a trend in digital communication, then to share knowledge with other students, e.g. in the form of a presentation.		
	Collaborative projects are intended to encourage students to collaborate together on a subject of digital communication, and to publicly display the results of their work by supporting it with a communication plan, e.g. in the form of a conference.		
	Students will also be regularly invited to share, alone or in groups, their own actions in terms of Personal Branding, as well as their knowledge / monitoring of various digital tools and news (website publication, project management, etc.).		
Bibliography	Lectures recommandées/Recommended readings :		
	Ries, E. (2011). The Lean Startup. Retrieved from http://theleanstartup.com		
	Ferriss, T. (2007). The 4-Hour Workweek. Retrieved from https://fourhourworkweek.com		
	Gothelf, J. (2013). Lean UX. Retrieved from https://www.jeffgothelf.com/lean-ux-book		
	Personal branding. https://edgecommunication.be/personal-branding/		
Faculty or entity in charge	ESPB		

Programmes containing this learning unit (UE)						
Program title	Acronym	Credits	Prerequisite	Learning outcomes		
Master [120] in Communication Strategy and Digital Culture (shift schedule)	COMB2M	8	BCOMU2107	٩		